To: Delp, Robert[Delp.Robert@epa.gov]

From: Johnson, Alisha

Sent: Thur 6/20/2013 10:26:29 PM

Subject: FW: Pavillion, WY

FYI

From: Abrahm Lustgarten [mailto:Abrahm.Lustgarten@propublica.org]

Sent: Thursday, June 20, 2013 6:24 PM

To: Johnson, Alisha Subject: Re: Pavillion, WY

Importance: High

Here's some questions:

- 1. Why is the EPA turning over the investigation to Wyoming officials, without completing its peer review process or reaching a final conclusion?
- 2. How much money did the federal government spend on this investigation to date?
- 3. Is the investigation now considered formally closed, for EPA purposes?
- 4. Does the EPA retain any authority or influence over the process now, as the state of Wyoming continues?
- 5. Why did the EPA initially extend the public comment and review process two times?
- 6. Is the EPA backing away from its initial conclusions regarding the source of groundwater constituents detected in Pavillion water wells?
- 7. Will the Pavillion situation be included in any way or inform in any way the EPA's ongoing national review of the safety of hydraulic fracturing?
- 8. Has the EPA ensured in any way that there will be continuity between Wyoming officials' investigation and the one started by the EPA, and that Wyoming will continue to examine for the same constituent contaminants in Pavillion water that the EPA has raised initial questions and had initial findings about?

From: "Johnson, Alisha" < Johnson, Alisha@epa.gov>

Date: Thu, 20 Jun 2013 18:13:50 -0400

To: Abrahm Lustgarten abrahm.lustgarten@propublica.org

Subject: RE: Pavillion, WY

Hey there,

Won't be able to do an interview, but happy to get back to you on any questions you might have.

To: Johnson, Alisha
Subject: Pavillion, WY

Hi Alisha,

I've sent a note to Rich Mylott asking for an interview with one of the Pavillion research team members about the decision to turn that project over to Wyoming. Would you assent to that? And would you be able to make someone in Washington available to chat with me about it for a couple of minutes — probably Bob Perciaseppe since I've seen his name mentioned in early reports about it?

Thanks,

Abrahm

From: Abrahm Lustgarten [mailto:Abrahm.Lustgarten@propublica.org]

Sent: Thursday, June 20, 2013 6:11 PM